

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/092,592	03/08/2002	Takayasu Fujimori	Q68796	1083	
75	590 11/19/2003		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN			BUTTNER, DAVID J		
MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, D			1712		
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/200	DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	, L			
_	10/092,592	FUJIMORI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David Buttner	<sup>1</sup> 1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period version of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims  A) M. Claim(a) 1.4 is/are pending in the application						
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.						
<ul><li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li><li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li></ul>						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement					
Application Papers	r election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	t				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		;				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •		·			
Attachment(s)	. ,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3 .  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: .						

Application/Control Number: 10/092,592

Art Unit: 1712

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Fujimori EP 1065231 Patent in view of Kurosawa '919.

Fujimori produces polycarbonate from PCPDM (formula 1, 2 of page 3), carbonic acid diester (paragraph 20) and transesterification catalyst (paragraph 23). Various catalysts are named including Mg acetate, Ca acetate etc (paragraph 25). Fujimori does not list applicant's specific transesterification catalysts.

Zn acetate, Ph acetate, tin acetate as well as ZnO and PbO (col. 5 line 53-59 of Kurosawa) are known as alternatives to Ca acetate or Mg acetate transesterification catalysts.

It would have been prime facie obvious to use any tansestification catalyst to make Fujimori's polycarbonate.

The examiner recognizes applicant's comparison examples (eg C2) attempts to compare some transesterification catalysts against other transesterification catalysts. However, the comparisons do not keep all variables constant except for species of catalyst. The "heat stirring" is not held constant.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Buttner whose telephone number is 703-308-2403. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 10 to 5.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/092,592

Art Unit: 1712

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Dawson, can be reached on (703) 308-2340. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

DAVID J. BUTTNER PRIMARY EXAMINER

D. Buttner/mn · November 18, 2003

DoubBatton